

# **EXHIBIT C**

1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Case No. 05-44481

- - - - -x

In the Matter of:

DELPHI CORPORATION,

Debtor.

- - - - -x

United States Bankruptcy Court

One Bowling Green

New York, New York

March 19, 2008

10:09 AM

B E F O R E:

HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN

U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

VERITETEXT/NEW YORK REPORTING COMPANY

212-267-6868

516-608-2400

1 prudent to file this motion. As I indicated, it's been  
2 reviewed with our statutory committees and with other  
3 stakeholders. No objections have been filed.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone want to say anything  
5 on this motion? All right. I've reviewed it and the motion  
6 clearly sets forth cause for, as you said, a precautionary  
7 extension of exclusivity so I'll grant that.

8 MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor,  
9 matter number 3 on the agenda is our motion to extend the Rule  
10 4(m) time for services of summonses relating to avoidance  
11 actions that were filed under the preservation of the estate  
12 claims procedures order. This motion is filed at docket number  
13 12922 and this motion is also unopposed.

14 Essentially, Your Honor, what we're asking you to do  
15 is to extend the time for an additional sixty days for  
16 summonses to be served in connection to serve a complete  
17 process in connection with all of the individual adversary  
18 complaints that were filed under the estate claims procedures  
19 order. And you previously had granted us an extension through  
20 March 31, 2008 and that was slightly less than sixty days  
21 beyond the 120-day deadline set forth in Federal Civil  
22 Procedure 4(m). And that rule does provide -- in the case law  
23 interpreting it, it does provide the opportunity for the  
24 plaintiffs to come in and establish cause with the Court as to  
25 an appropriate extension of those summonses.

1 Under case law here in the Southern District, this  
2 Court has discretion to extend the 120-day service period and  
3 it is a discretionary matter. And it is particularly seen as  
4 good cause when there is a reasonable belief that future events  
5 would likely obviate the need to serve the complaint and  
6 prosecute the actions. That can be -- is obviously, Your  
7 Honor, in this case, I think, self-evident. Upon the  
8 confirmation of a plan, I believe all but one, possibly two of  
9 those matters, would end up not being pursued. They would end  
10 up being dismissed as of the effective date and would not be  
11 pursued. Similar relief of this nature has been granted in  
12 other cases -- Chapter 11 cases in this district, including in  
13 the Ames Department Store case in 2004 where a further  
14 extension was granted at docket number 2524 in that case.

15 Your Honor, we believe that there is no reason to  
16 move forward with the service of summons with respect to the  
17 742 adversary proceedings that are under seal. We would ask  
18 Your Honor to give us an additional sixty days through May 31st  
19 to address that issue.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone have anything to say  
21 on this motion? All right. I had one question and you alluded  
22 to this. The plan did reserve or retain the ability to pursue  
23 a very small number of avoidance actions. And my question is  
24 with regard to that small group, have the debtors determined,  
25 assuming the plan goes effective, that those will definitely be

1 pursued or is that still something they're analyzing in light  
2 of the cost of pursuing it versus the net gain of a victory --

3 MR. BUTLER: I think, Your Honor --

4 THE COURT: -- or potential victory?

5 MR. BUTLER: -- those are still under analysis. They  
6 were retained because of the unique circumstances that were  
7 pled in those particular proceedings. And I don't think a  
8 final decision has been made as to whether those would actually  
9 be pursued. But obviously, we did make -- we did do enough  
10 analysis to decide that as opposed to the other 740 odd actions  
11 that these should be retained for that purpose.

12 THE COURT: Did those defendants get notice of the  
13 motion?

14 MR. BUTLER: Everyone received notice of the 4(m)  
15 motion, I believe. Let me make sure. Is that -- I want to  
16 just double check with my folks. It went to the 2002 services,  
17 I know for sure. Just give us one second, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I'm almost certain that they  
20 would have not gotten individualized notice unless they were on  
21 the 2002 list.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. BUTLER: And the reason for that is I'm not sure  
24 they know about the existence of the pleadings.

25 THE COURT: All right. Well, I debated whether to

24

1 have you settle the order on those -- that handful of people.  
2 I mean, normally, no one wants to have litigation be activated  
3 but I think the rationale potentially for them is a little  
4 different than the others. So I think I'll -- particularly, if  
5 we're not sure whether they got the notice.

6 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I think -- let me just --

7 THE COURT: If they're on the 2002 list, you don't  
8 need to settle it. If they weren't -- they weren't served with  
9 it, I'd like you to settle it as to the handful of people that  
10 the plan at least contemplates would be pursued.

11 MR. BUTLER: Right.

12 THE COURT: With regard to the vast majority, all the  
13 others, clearly there's a good basis for not activating that  
14 litigation. It would be moot upon consummation of the plan.

15 MR. BUTLER: We'll do that, Your Honor. And the  
16 existence of those folks is obviously that that exhibit to the  
17 plan is public. So everyone knows what that retention is.  
18 So --

19 THE COURT: Right.

20 MR. BUTLER: -- we will deal with that and we will  
21 settle the order. If they're not --

22 THE COURT: You can do it five days notice.

23 MR. BUTLER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Okay. If they're not on the 2002 list.

25 MR. BUTLER: Thanks -- thank you, Your Honor. Your

VERITETEXT/NEW YORK REPORTING COMPANY

212-267-6868

516-608-2400

1 Honor, the next matter on the agenda -- and I -- one of the  
2 things just so the record is straight and I want to go back  
3 briefly to item number 1 because I do want to have a record  
4 supporting these things, we had previously made it known to the  
5 Court and I believe the Court has considered the exhibits that  
6 were part of that matter, 1 through 20. I don't think I  
7 actually moved them formally into the record. So, as to item  
8 number 1, the KECP motion, I would like to move the twenty  
9 exhibits, which include various of the Court documents, the AIP  
10 documents, the adjustment protocol and the other plans  
11 including the plan Your Honor referred to in connection with  
12 the confirmation hearing that were the basis of the KECP.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. BUTLER: So I'd like to move Exhibits 1 to 20 in  
15 for that matter.

16 THE COURT: Those will be admitted.

17 MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. Similarly, with  
18 respect to item number 4, item number 4 on the agenda is our  
19 omitted contracts assumption procedures motion. This is at  
20 docket number 13029. And, Your Honor, this deals with -- there  
21 are no objections, by the way, filed with respect to this  
22 matter.

23 This is with respe -- this arises with respect to  
24 approximately seventy-five contracts that were identified very  
25 late in the process by the company that had not been previously